

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2001) KLR VOL 1 PART 114 pp. 137 - 386**

**JANUARY 2001**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **JANUARY CONTD.**

4. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137
5. Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169
6. Adenuga v. Odumeru p. 197
7. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221
8. Chime v. Chime p. 269
9. Odojin v. Oni p. 303
10. Dogo v. State p. 329
11. Oduneye v. State p. 355

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 1 KLR**

**ACTIONS** - Claims - Departure from endorsed claim - Gives rise to new cause of action - For which the court's jurisdiction has not been invoked. Adenuga v. Odumeru p. 197

**ACTIONS** - Claims - Reliefs sought must be within the scope of the claim - As plaintiff is limited by his claim. Adenuga v. Odumeru p. 197

**ACTIONS** - Fundamental Rights Procedure - Justiciability - Cannot be sustained - Where alleged breach of fundamental right is incidental to the main complaint. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**ACTIONS** - Justiciability - Fundamental Rights Procedure Rules 1979 - Justiciability of a cause under the Rules - Is not exclusively the preserve of trial judge. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**ACTIONS** - Wrongful dismissal - Relief should be obtained by writ of summons in High Court - Not by application under Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**APPEALS** - Concurrent findings of fact - Will be disturbed - If perverse or leading to miscarriage of justice. Dogo v. State p. 329

**APPEALS** - Concurrent findings - Will not be disturbed - Except the adversary party - Shows that the circumstances of his case falls into the exceptions to the law. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**APPEALS** - Cross appeal - Where respondents have not filed a cross appeal against co-respondents - They cannot support appellants' motion - As they lack standing in respect of reliefs sought. Adenuga v. Odumeru p. 197

**APPEALS** - Evidence - Wrongful admission or expulsion of evidence - Is not an interlocutory decision - And need not be brought under the provision of s.25 (2) (a) Court of Appeal Act 1976. Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

**APPEALS** - Issues - Consideration of - Failure to consider vital issues set

out for determination - Amounts to breach of right to fair hearing. Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

**APPEALS** - Issues - Resolution of - Failure of Court of Appeal to resolve relevant issues - Supreme Court will not deliberate upon them - But remit the appeal back to that Court. Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

**APPEALS** - Issues - Should be hinged on the grounds of appeal -Or they are incompetent and will be struck out. Chime v. Chime p. 269

**APPEALS** - Jurisdiction - Non compliance with order of Court - As regards payment of judgment debt - Does not divest court of jurisdiction to determine appeal. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**APPEALS** - Leave - Interlocutory order or ruling - Appeal thereon - Must be brought within the time stipulated by s.25 (2) (a) Court of Appeal Act - Or else leave of court should be obtained. Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

**CLAIMS** - Reliefs - Since they are not related to a claim before the court - Court is incompetent and has no jurisdiction to hear it. Adenuga v. Odumeru p. 197

**CONVICTION** - Propriety of - Conviction of appellant for a different offence - Was rightly confirmed by the court of Appeal - In the present case. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**COURTS** - Fundamental Rights Procedure - Competence of a court to exercise jurisdiction - Avails only when the main application is for enforcement of a fundamental right. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Culpable Homicide - Eye witness evidence - Must be clear and unequivocal - As to opportunity of seeing the accused Dogo v. State p. 329

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Culpable Homicide - Eye witness evidence - The

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 1 KLR**

prosecution must prove -That the witness - Had opportunity of seeing the accused. Dogo v. State p. 329

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Culpable Homicide - Eye witness evidence - Unresolved contradictions and inconsistencies - Would entitle accused to benefit of doubt. Dogo v. State p. 329

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Murder - Appeals - Unconvincing and unsatisfactory circumstantial evidence - Conviction must be set aside. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**CRIMINAL LAW** - Murder - Ingredients of the offence - Must be wholly proved by prosecution - To ground a conviction. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Alibi - Places an onus on prosecution - To prove beyond reasonable doubt - The presence of the accused at the scene of the offence Dogo v. State p. 329

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Burden of proof - Lies without exception on the prosecution - To prove the offence beyond reasonable doubt. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Contradiction - Complained of - Is an inconsequential discrepancy rightly overlooked by the court of Appeal. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Contradictions - Only contradictions that go to the substance & materiality of facts or facts in issue - Are fatal to the prosecution's case. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Extra judicial statements - Error of lower court in considering them - Cannot vitiate a judgment unless miscarriage of justice is occasioned thereby State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Extra judicial statements - Not tendered and

admitted in evidence at trial - Was wrongly considered State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Murder - Conviction - Burden of proof - There must be proof beyond reasonable doubt - For conviction to stand. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**CRIMINAL PROCEDURE** - Witnesses - As conviction can be based on evidence of a sole witness - The prosecution is not required to call every eye witness to the offence - Even when they are described as material witnesses. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**CUSTOMARY LAW** - Customary Court's decisions - Interpretation of - Focus should be on substance and not the form - And there should be no reliance on technicalities. Odojin v. Oni p. 303

**CUSTOMARY LAW** - Customary Court's decisions - Interpretation of - Where restrictively interpreted - In breach of guidelines - Judgment should be reversed. Odojin v. Oni p. 303

**EVIDENCE** - Appeals - Revaluation - In the absence of a challenge by the adverse party - As to the credibility of the evidence - The court of Appeal and the supreme court cannot castigate its credibility. Oduneye v. State p. 355

**EVIDENCE** - Circumstantial evidence - Can ground a conviction - Only if there are no coexisting circumstances - Which can weaken its cogency. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**EVIDENCE** - Circumstantial evidence - Nature - Evidence of surrounding circumstances - If not capable of proving a proposition with accuracy of mathematics - Cannot establish an offence beyond reasonable doubt. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**EVIDENCE** - Circumstantial evidence - Quality and standard - Must be cogent, compelling, irresistible and unequivocal - Against the accused. State v. Ogbubunjo p. 221

**EVIDENCE** - Evaluation - The duty of evaluating evidence - As to value and credibility - Is within the special preserve of the trial judge - As was rightly held by the lower court in this case. *Oduneye v. State* p. 355

**EVIDENCE** - Findings of fact - If read together in this case - Suggests the irresistible inference of an agreement - Enough to sustain a charge of conspiracy to murder. *Oduneye v. State* p. 355

**EVIDENCE** - Proof - Suspicion - Can never amount to proof - Court must not convict on mere evidence of suspicion. *State v. Ogbubunjo* p. 221

**EVIDENCE** - Witnesses - Material or indispensable witness - A person does not become such a witness - If there is no nexus and if his evidence would not be helpful - In getting at the truth. *Oduneye v. State* p. 355

**EVIDENCE** - Witnesses - Prosecution must call any material or indispensable witness even if not favourable to its case - Or if not raise a doubt which must be resolved in favour of the defence. *Oduneye v. State* p. 355

**INJUNCTIONS** - Order of - When it will be made - Reason and condition for its grant. *Adenuga v. Odumeru* p. 197

**INJUNCTIONS** - Remedy - Is not available - In respect of issues not raised in the action - Or matters not directly related to the issues raised. *Adenuga v. Odumeru* p. 197

**JUDGMENTS** - Appeals - Reason for lower court's decision - Though wrongful - Will not lead to reversal by the Supreme Court - If that decision is right. *State v. Ogbubunjo* p. 221

**JUDGMENTS** - Clerical error - Where noticed - The judgment should not be read in isolation of their various parts - To avoid distortion and confusion. *Odofin v. Oni* p. 303

**JUDGMENTS** - Delivery - Failure to communicate delivery date to a party

- Is a mere irregularity - With no effect on substance of the judgment - Or jurisdiction of the court. Chime v. Chime p. 269

**JUDGMENTS** - Slips or error - Can be corrected by the court - As such Slips - Will not undermine or derogate from a good judgment. Odofo v. Oni p. 303

**JURISDICTION** - .Creation of new states - Does not divest trial judge - Of jurisdiction to proceed - With the case to finality - According to S.6 Decree No. 41 of 1991 Chime v. Chime p. 269

**LAND LAW** - Identity of disputed land - Later disputes as to identity of land - Was a mere afterthought - Which should not be countenanced. Odofo v. Oni p. 303

**LAND LAW** - Identity of disputed land - Uncertainty as to identity - Was resolved by a visit to locus in quo - And drafting of a rough plan. Odofo v. Oni p. 303

**LAND LAW** - Title - Identity of disputed land - Must be clearly established by claimant - Except where it is familiar to both parties. Odofo v. Oni p. 303

**LEGAL PRACTITIONERS** - Conduct of - Amounted to abandoning his motion - And a failure - In his duty to the court. Chime v. Chime p. 269

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Court processes - Non service of - Only the affected party can complain - About such a failure. Chime v. Chime p. 269

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Evidence taken on commission - Court has discretion under the Rules of Court - Depending on the circumstances - To order a witness's evidence - To be so taken Chime v. Chime p. 269

**PROPERTY LAW** - Power of attorney - Does not divest the donor - Of power to deal with his property - As far as donee has not exercised such

power. Chime v. Chime p. 269

**STATUTES** - Trade Disputes (Amendment) Decree No 47 of 1992 - Cannot apply in a claim for wrongful dismissal. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

**SUPREME COURT** - Supervisory powers - Includes power to correct all clerical errors - Arising from accidental slips - In judgments of lower courts. Odofoin v. Oni p. 303

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Court of Appeal Act 1976 - s. 25 (2) (a) Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

Decree No 41 of 1991 s.6 Chime v. Chime p. 269

Evidence Act - s.227 Oke v. Nwaogbuinya p. 169

High Court Rules of Anambra State O. 23 r. 54 Chime v. Chime p. 269

Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 1979 Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137

Supreme Court Rules Order 8 Rule 16 *Odofoin v. Oni p. 303*

Trade Dispute (Amendment ) Decree No 49 1992. Sea Trucks Ltd v. Anigboro p. 137